Case: 10-13181 Date Filed: 05/10/2011 Page: 1 of 3

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

	_ FILED
No. 10-13181 Non-Argument Calendar	U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT MAY 10, 2011
	JOHN LEY  CLERK

D.C. Docket No. 1:10-cr-20026-DLG-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

CELESTINO RAMIREZ-HERNANDEZ, a.k.a. Leonel Lopez,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida

(May 10, 2011)

Before PRYOR, MARTIN and ANDERSON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Case: 10-13181 Date Filed: 05/10/2011 Page: 2 of 3

Celestino Ramirez-Hernandez appeals his sentence of 70 months of imprisonment for reentering the United States illegally. 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a), (b)(2). Ramirez-Hernandez argues that his prior conviction for statutory rape did not qualify as a crime of violence and his sentence is unreasonable. We affirm.

The district court correctly determined that Ramirez-Hernandez's prior conviction in a Georgia court for statutory rape constituted a crime of violence. A defendant is subject to a 16-point increase in his offense level if he previously has been deported after being convicted of a "crime of violence." United States Sentencing Guidelines Manual § 2L1.2(b)(1)(A)(ii) (2009). "Statutory rape" is considered a "crime of violence" under section 2L1.2, id. § 2L1.2 cmt. n.1(B)(iii), and Ramirez-Hernadez admitted at sentencing that his prior conviction was equivalent to the generic offense listed in the Guidelines. Ramirez-Hernandez argues that his crime did not involve the type of physical force required to qualify as a crime of violence, but "[i]t is well settled that a felony conviction for an enumerated offense qualifies as a 'crime of violence' under § 2L1.2, whether or not the use of physical force is an element of the crime." United States v. Palomino Garcia, 606 F.3d 1317, 1327 (11th Cir. 2010); see also U.S.S.G. App. C, amend. 722 (Enumerated offenses "are always classified as 'crimes of violence,'

Case: 10-13181 Date Filed: 05/10/2011 Page: 3 of 3

regardless of whether the prior offense expressly has as an element the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the person of another.").

Ramirez-Hernandez's sentence is both procedurally and substantively reasonable. The district court correctly calculated Ramirez-Hernandez's advisory guideline range and imposed a sentence at the bottom of the guideline range after considering the "presentence report which contains the advisory guidelines[] and the statutory factors." Ramirez-Hernandez argues that the district court failed to take into account his "own unique circumstances," but the district court stated that it had considered Ramirez-Hernandez's "statements" in fashioning an appropriate sentence. The district court reasonably determined that a sentence of 70 months of imprisonment was required to punish Ramirez-Hernandez for reentering the United States illegally and to deter similar future conduct. The district court did not abuse its discretion.

Ramirez-Hernandez's sentence is **AFFIRMED**.